

Mr. Jeroen Hooijer Head of Unit Accounting

European Commission Internal Market and Services DG

markt-review-consultation@ec.europa.eu

Brussels, 30th April 2009 B16/VH/JC/09-092

E-MAIL

Re: EACB contribution to the European Commission Consultation on modernisation of the EU accounting Directives.

Dear Mr. Hooijer,

The European Association of Co-operative Banks, EACB, gladly takes the opportunity to comment on the Consultation Paper published by the European Commission on 26th February 2009 concerning the Modernisation of the Fourth Directive (78/660/EEC) and the Seventh Directive (78/660/EEC) on Accounting.

Please find enclosed our answers to the European Commission's questions, which are shared by all our members organisations expanded on the following pages.

We welcome any questions regarding our comments.

Yours sincerely,

Hervé GUIDER Secretary General Volker HEEGEMANN Head of Legal Department

European Association of Co-operative Banks Groupement Européen des Banques Coopératives Europäische Vereinigung der Genossenschaftsbanken

EACB Answer to the European Commission Consultation on Modernisation of the EU Accounting Directives

30th April 2009

The **European Association of Co-operative Banks** (EACB) is the voice of Co-operative Banks in Europe. It represents, promotes and defends the common interests of its 28 members and co-operative banks in general. Co-operative banks form decentralised networks which are governed by banking as well as co-operative legislation. The co-operative banks business model is based on three pillars: democracy, transparency and proximity. Through those pillars co-operative banks act as the driving force of sustainable and responsible development by placing the individual at the heart of their activities and organization. In this respect they widely contribute to the national and European economic and social objectives laid down in the Lisbon Agenda. With 63.000 outlets and 4.200 banks, co-operative banks are widely represented throughout the enlarged European Union playing a major role in the financial and economic system. In other words, in Europe one out of two banks is a co-operative. Co-operative banks have a long tradition in serving 160 million customers, mainly consumers, retailers and SMEs. They have also developed a strong foothold in the corporate market providing services to large international groups. Quantitatively co-operative banks in Europe represent about 50 millions members, 750,000 employees with a total average market share of about 20%.

For further details, please visit $\underline{www.eurocoopbanks.coop}$



EACB Contribution

Please provide the fo	ollowing details togethe	r with your response:	
You are			
Preparer:	□ company	☐ subsidiary of foreign company	□organisation of companies
If company, please specify your sector	□ services	□ production	☐ agriculture
User:	X bank/credit provider□ private person	□ analyst	X organisation of stakeholders
Public authority:			
Accountants and auditors:	☐ accounting	□ audit	☐ organisation of accountants and auditors
Other	☐ (please specify)		

Name of your organization / company: European Association of Co-operative Banks

Short description of the general activity of your organization/ company:

The European Association of Co-operative Banks (EACB) is the voice of Cooperative Banks in Europe. It represents, promotes and defends the common interests of its 28 members and co-operative banks in general. Co-operative banks form decentralised networks which are governed by banking as well as co-operative legislation. The co-operative banks business model is based on three pillars: democracy, transparency and proximity. Through those pillars co-operative banks act as the driving force of sustainable and responsible development by placing the individual at the heart of their activities and organization. In this respect they widely contribute to the national and European economic and social objectives laid down in the Lisbon Agenda. With 63.000 outlets and 4.200 banks, co-operative banks are widely represented throughout the enlarged European Union playing a major role in the financial and economic system. In other words, in Europe one out of two banks is a co-operative. Co-operative banks have a long tradition in serving 160 million customers, mainly consumers, retailers and SMEs. They have also developed a strong foothold in the corporate market providing services to large international groups. Quantitatively co-operative banks in Europe represent about 50 millions members, 750,000 employees with a total average market share of about 20%.



For further details, please visit www.eurocoopbanks.coop

Country where your organization/ company is located: Belgium

Contact details incl. e-mail address:

EACB AISBL

Secretariat : Rue de l'Industrie 26-38

B-1040 Brussels

Tel: (+32 2) 230 11 24 Fax (+32 2) 230 06 49 Enterprise 0896.081.149

E-mail: <u>secretariat@eurocoopbanks.coop</u>



EACB Responses to Questions

1. Basic principle	<u>s –qualitativ</u>	<u>e characteristics</u>
Question 1: Do	you agree wit	th the approach described above?
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know
	ctive in one are	ission initiative to bring together the main principles ea. These "General Principles" approach will help to unting standards.
	_	ther principles that should be included in the ? Should any of the current principles be
accounting princip standing market p therefore be uphe over form".	les mentioned tractice and ha eld. Additionally	eneral principles" as mentioned above. The 13 in the Consultation Paper are derived from long- ave stood the test of time; in essence, they should by, we suggest including the principle of substance
2. Structure – "b	ottom-up" ap	pproach-
	oach would b	that a restructured Directive following a be useful to Member States in creating more rd rules?
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know
	oroach ensures	a "bottom-up" approach for the revision of the that Member States will use their option to make
Question 4: Do companies are a	=	at current rules for small, medium and large
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know
amendments were by the co-operative	e needed in the re banking sec	ctives have been in place for many years and e past. The proposals for changing are appreciated tor. However, we also think that a simplification of maller companies would be useful (see comment

Please indicate in broad lines what the minimum requirements for small entities

should be according to the bottom-up approach: N/A

4



3. Member State options

Question 5: Please provide reasons why Member States did not make full use of the options available in the current Accounting Directives.

In our view, this question can only be comprehensively answered by the national governments of the individual Member States. In this regard, the use of accounting options needs to strike the balance between the legitimate interests of preparers of annual reports and the legitimate interests of users of annual reports. If and to what extend an accounting standard is promulgated in the form of an option, the Member State would therefore decide on a case by case basis. Here, the decision will be strongly influenced by the respective, national company law and the prevailing framework conditions for economic policy.

Question 6: What can be done to further simplify the Directives in respect of Member State options? N/A

4. Definition of company categories Criteria and threshold levels Question 7: Do you think the current criteria (balance sheet total, net turnover, average number of employees) have worked well? X YES ☐ Don't know \square NO If no, please indicate what other criteria should be considered. N/A Question 8: Do you believe that the current thresholds for small, medium and large companies are appropriate? X YES \square NO ☐ Don't know 5. Number of company categories Question 9: In your opinion, would it be appropriate to reduce the number of company categories in the Directives? YES X Don't know \square NO If yes, would you prefer: □ Option 1 X Option 2 Should the need arise to reduce the number of categories, we would prefer option 2 merging the medium-sized category with the category of large entities since

Question 10:Do you see any other approached to reduce the number of

X Don't know

medium-sized entities have more similarities with large entities.

 \square NO

company categories?

 \square YES



In our view, the philosophy of implementing company categories based on size has proven successful. However, should there be any plans for merging several categories into one; we would like to caution against addressing the next smaller or larger category. Instead - if notwithstanding our foregoing reservations the decision to consolidate several categories were to be made - we feel that a consolidation between the medium-sized category into the large-sized category would be preferable.

6. Elements of annual accounts Question 11:Regarding the table above, do you see additional room for simplification, e.g. eliminating the requirement for annual reports for medium-sized enterprises? \Box YES X NO ☐ Don't know We feel that medium-sized companies in individual Member States play an important macroeconomic role. Hence, it is unlikely that there will come a time where elimination of annual reports would be justified. Question 12:Do you believe that cash-based information should be explicitly required in the Directives? \square YES X NO ☐ Don't know For the companies concerned, preparing cash flow statements ties up a considerable additional amount of administrative resources. Based on its specific economic situation, each company should decide for itself if the information obtained as a result of cash flow statements would be meaningful. When it comes to companies that are not traded publicly, we are definitively opposed to any compulsory need for cash flow statements. Question 13:Should the requirement be for a cash-flow statement based on a minimum layout defined by the Directive, e.g. requiring operating, investing, financing cash flows? X YES \square NO ☐ Don't know In our opinion, during the definition of minimum standards for the cash flow statement there should always be incorporation by reference of IAS 7. Question 14:If you are a preparer, have you provided a cash-flow statement in the past years? \Box YFS ☐ Don't know \square NO Please comment: N/A Could you indicate how burdensome cash flow statement is/will be to your company ☐ Not burdensome ☐ Significant burden ☐ Don't know

Could you quantify (in € or % of turnover): N/A

N/A



Question 15:If you a flow statement be?	are a bank	or credit pro	ovider, how useful would a cash-
☐ Very useful	\square Not	useful	□ Don't know
In this regard we would	d like to refe	r to our answe	er to question 12.
Question 16:1s there a cash-flow statemen	_	a requireme	nt in your jurisdiction to provide
\Box YES	NO	X Don't know	,
6. Publication require	<u>ements – e</u>	lectronic filir	<u>na</u>
Question 17: Do you think that small companies should be exempted from the requirement to publish their accounts?			
X YES] NO	☐ Don't know	/
In matters of competition environment, we feel that it would be judicious to give especially small companies a certain room for discretion as regards the disclosure obligations.			
Question 18:Do you think there should be a Member State option to allow small companies only to prepare abridged accounts only?			
\Box YES \Box] NO	X Don't know	,
Question 19:If you are a preparer, what is the annual cost of publishing your accounts? (€, % of turnover) : N/A			
Question 20:Do you have comments on the role of electronic tools and gateways, e.g. XBRL, in this context (costs - benefits)? Can you provide us with practical experience from your Member State?			
For public authorities:	Is it possible	in your count	ry to file using XBRL?
\Box YES \Box] NO	☐ Don't know	/
Can you quantify costs of developing an XBRL system in your country? N/A			
For preparers: Can you quantify the initial costs of switching to XBRL reporting? N/A			
After the initial costs, have you seen reduction of reporting costs (please quantify \in , % of turnover)? N/A			
For users: Can you quantify the benefits of having access to XBRL reports?			
Lower costs of supply of financial statements for banks and for transformation into the it-systems for creating analysis and ratings.			

Following the advice from the Opinion of the High-Level Group of Independent Stakeholders on Administrative Burdens, July 2008 High Level Group.



level?		
□ YES	X NO	□ Don't know
7. Layout requirem	<u>nents</u>	
_		at the Directive should provide prescriptive ce sheet and the profit and loss account?
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know
Since standards formats would increase the comparability of annual reports they might be helpful. However, we should like to draw the attention to the fact that the respective format rules should not curtail the room for discretion of reporting entities using the IFRS.		
Question 23:Should the number of available layouts be reduced?		
□ YES	\square NO	X Don't know
format. On the one greater comparability out that market parvarious Member States stood the test of the additional administration.	hand, harmo y (cf. question rticipants are tes which wer time. Any har ative costs; for	ng and overriding need for harmonisation of the nisation would without doubt contribute towards in 22). On the other hand, we should like to point familiar with the formats currently used in the e rolled out many years ago and which basically rmonisation of the formats would thus lead to instance, despite the fact that they have already ks' analytical tools would have to be changed
Question 24: Would it be sufficient to provide for a minimum structure for each, the balance sheet and the profit and loss account?		
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know
Please comment. If yes, can you please provide the key elements of such a minimum structure?		
Question 25:What the current layout		ons or amendments would you recommend to
In this regard we wo	uld like to refe	er to our answer to question 23.
Question 26:Do you have comments on the idea to require only a limited number of key financial data from small enterprises instead of a fixed balance sheet and profit and loss account structure?		

We doubt that for small-sized companies, the limitation to key financial data would deliver any tangible cost advantage. This is due to the fact that the preparation of key financial data presupposes a corresponding book keeping effort and a certain

degree of accounting logistics.

Question 21:Should there be one XBRL taxonomy developed on the EU

8



If yes, which key figures would you regard as absolutely essential?

In this regard, we would like to refer to our answer to question 23.

Question 27:Do you believe that the separate line items for extraordinary effects should be removed?

 \square YES X NO \square Don't know

If you are a preparer, can you please indicate how often you used the separate line item "extraordinary items" during the past years? N/A

Question 28:If you are user, do you find the extraordinary item useful?

 \square YES X NO \square Don't know

Is it not necessary. The real information you will find for every item in the notes. In this way you can prepare the financial statements for analysis.

8. Notes to the accounts

Question 29:Are there any other items that should be disclosed for small entities? Can you please indicate additional disclosure requirements for medium-sized and large entities?

In our opinion, the scope of the disclosures in the notes needs to be kept to a minimum, lest this would drive up the consequent administrative costs for preparing the annual accounts.

Question 30:What information has to be compiled especially for preparing the disclosures?

Can you say anything about the costs of preparing this information? N/A

Question 31:Can you please indicate whether other disclosure requirements in the Directives are not useful and relevant? Can you also provide indications of costs of their preparation (% of turnover)? N/A

9. Valuation issues

Question 32:Do you see any potential for modernisation and simplification in the area valuation rules?

 $X YES \qquad \Box NO \qquad \Box Don't know$

We feel that the principle of purchase price or production costs enshrined in article 32 of the Fourth Council Directive is essentially fit for purpose. However, if and when assets held for trading are affected, the option provided under Article 42 a of the Fourth Council Directive, i.e. fair value measurement of financial instruments, should not be conceived as optional but, instead, as mandatory for Member States. Bank assets held for trading are subject to a high degree of turnover and will regularly only be held for a short period. In order to increase market transparency at this



juncture, we feel that a fair value measurement would be fit for purpose. Mandatory fair value measurement of financial instruments held for trading would help to reduce options and thus improve comparability.

Regardless of the valuation principles envisaged by the EU Commission, we feel that these should apply irrespective of company size. Our concern is that otherwise the comparability of annual accounts might suffer severely.

Question 33:Which of the valuation requirements should be more/less descriptive? N/A

10. Creating one Accounting Directive – terms and technical language

Question 34:Do you agree with the idea of integrating the Seventh Directive

into the Fourth Directive?				
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know		
Integrating the Seventh Council Directive into the Fourth Council Directive might promote leaner and more modern European accounting rules. For instance, it would be possible to incorporate the provisions on drafting consolidated annual accounts in a separate section within the Fourth EU Council Directive.				
Question 35:Do you think there is a need for amendments or modernisation of the Seventh Directives? Could you indicate the areas where a revision would be particularly welcome?				
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know		
The Fourth and Seventh Council Directive should be consistent. Hence, in order to identify any need for adjustment, the scope of the review and update of the Fourth EU Council Directive should also include the Seventh Council Directive. In this regard we would like to refer to our answer to question 34.				
Question 36:Do you believe that there is a need to streamline and modernise the wording and terminology throughout the Directives?				
X YES	\square NO	□ Don't know		
N/A				

11. The future role of the Accounting Directives - Outlook

Question 37: Do you have any comments relating to the long-term role of the EU Accounting Directives?

The Fourth and Seventh EU Council Directive constitute a sound regulatory framework for accounting within the European Union. We therefore advocate strongly in favor of using these Directives as the basis for further development of European accounting rules. This is particularly important when it comes to providing companies which are not publicly traded with a sustainable and reliable basis for their accounting in lieu of the international accounting standards. We feel that also during the review of the Fourth and Seventh Council Directive, the main focus should



remain on protection of creditors.

Without expanding the mandatory scope of application of the IFRS, publicly traded companies should be allowed to opt for the exempting application of IFRS also for their individual accounts. Currently, this is conceived of as an option which is incumbent upon Member States and which is being exercised in a very heterogeneous manner thus leading to competitive distortion both within the European Union and also with regard to third country issuers.

Contact:

The EACB trusts that its comments will be taken into account.

For further information or questions on this paper, please contact:

Mr. Volker Heegemann, Head of Legal Department (v.heegemann@eurocoopbanks.coop)

Ms Johanna Cariou, Adviser, Accounting and Banking legislation (j.cariou@eurocoopbanks.coop)